Evaluation of M.S. Thesis and Defense

Return completed copy to afsgradprogram@okstate.edu

Student: Date:						
Evaluator	:					
Thesis title	e:					
1 - strongl	ly disagree	2 - disagree	3 - neutral	4 - agr	ee	5 - strongly agree
	_ Candidate was aware of the literature directly relevant to the work.					
	_ Experiments were well-designed to test the hypotheses.					
	_ Work used appropriate laboratory methods.					
	_ Results of experiments were interpreted appropriately.					
	Results were placed in proper context of other work.					
	_ Thoughts were logically organized. _ Thoughts were expressed clearly, using appropriate words, correct grammar, etc.					
	_ frioughts were expressed clearry, using appropriate words, correct graininar, etc. _ Good use was made of tables and figures.					
	_ Tables and figure were easily comprehensible.					
	_ Appropriate credit given to material taken from other sources.					
	_ Work reflects the candidate's competency in use of computational tools.					
	Candidate understood questions asked in the defense.					
	_ Candidate answered questions correctly.					
Other con	nments:					
	commendation: ommendation:	Pass Accept				